

Nonsurgical Management of Urinary Incontinence in Women: A Clinical Practice Guideline From the American College of Physicians

Amir Qaseem, MD, PhD, MHA; Paul Dallas, MD; Mary Ann Forciea, MD, MS; Melissa Starkey, PhD; Thomas D. Denberg, MD, PhD; and Paul Shekelle, MD, PhD, for the Clinical Guidelines Committee of the American College of Physicians*

Description: The American College of Physicians (ACP) developed this guideline to present the evidence and provide clinical recommendations on the nonsurgical management of urinary incontinence (UI) in women.

Methods: This guideline is based on published English-language literature on nonsurgical management of UI in women from 1990 through December 2013 that was identified using MEDLINE, the Cochrane Library, Scirus, and Google Scholar. The outcomes evaluated for this guideline include continence, improvement in UI, quality of life, adverse effects, and discontinuation due to adverse effects. It grades the evidence and recommendations by using ACP's guideline grading system. The target audience is all clinicians, and the target patient population is all women with UI.

Recommendation 1: ACP recommends first-line treatment with pelvic floor muscle training in women with stress UI. (Grade: strong recommendation, high-quality evidence)

Recommendation 2: ACP recommends bladder training in women with urgency UI. (Grade: weak recommendation, low-quality evidence)

Recommendation 3: ACP recommends pelvic floor muscle training with bladder training in women with mixed UI. (Grade: strong recommendation, high-quality evidence)

Recommendation 4: ACP recommends against treatment with systemic pharmacologic therapy for stress UI. (Grade: strong recommendation, low-quality evidence)

Recommendation 5: ACP recommends pharmacologic treatment in women with urgency UI if bladder training was unsuccessful. Clinicians should base the choice of pharmacologic agents on tolerability, adverse effect profile, ease of use, and cost of medication. (Grade: strong recommendation, high-quality evidence)

Recommendation 6: ACP recommends weight loss and exercise for obese women with UI. (Grade: strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence)

Ann Intern Med. 2014;161:429-440. doi:10.7326/M13-2410
For author affiliations, see end of text.

www.annals.org

Urinary incontinence (UI), the involuntary loss of urine, has a prevalence of approximately 25% in young women (aged 14 to 21 years) (1), 44% to 57% in middle-aged and postmenopausal women (aged 40 to 60 years) (2), and 75% in elderly women (aged ≥75 years) (3). However, these statistics may be underestimated because one study showed that at least half of incontinent women do not report the issue to their physicians (4). Risk factors for UI include pregnancy, pelvic floor trauma after vaginal delivery, menopause, hysterectomy, obesity, urinary tract infection, functional and/or cognitive impairment, chronic cough, and constipation (5). The effects of UI range from slightly bothersome to debilitating. Urinary incontinence also contributes to high medical spending—approximately \$19.5 billion was spent in the United States in 2004—and it accounts for 6% of nursing home admissions for elderly women, costing approximately \$3 billion (6).

The 2 types of UI are based on the dysfunctional mechanism: stress and urgency. However, the distinction is not always clear, particularly for older women. Stress UI is related to urethral sphincter failure associated with intra-abdominal pressure and results in the inability to retain

urine when laughing, coughing, or sneezing (7). Urgency UI is the involuntary loss of urine associated with a sudden and compelling urge to void (7).

Mixed UI is a combination of stress and urgency UI. Overactive bladder is a constellation of symptoms that includes urinary urgency (with or without UI), usually accompanied by frequency, and nocturia (5).

The primary goal of treatment is to achieve or improve continence (8, 9). Clinically successful treatment has been defined as that which reduces the frequency of UI episodes by at least 50% (10). Treatments addressed in this guideline include lifestyle changes, pelvic floor muscle training (PFMT), and various approved drugs (Table 1) (8). Surgical treatments, available for women in whom conserva-

See also:

Summary for Patients. I-34

Web-Only
Supplement
CME quiz

* This paper, written by Amir Qaseem, MD, PhD, MHA; Paul Dallas, MD; Mary Ann Forciea, MD, MS; Melissa Starkey, PhD; Thomas D. Denberg, MD, PhD; and Paul Shekelle, MD, PhD, was developed for the Clinical Guidelines Committee of the American College of Physicians. Individuals who served on the Clinical Guidelines Committee from initiation of the project until its approval were Paul Shekelle, MD, PhD (*Chair*); Michael J. Barry, MD; Roger Chou, MD; Molly Cooke, MD; Paul Dallas, MD; Thomas D. Denberg, MD, PhD; Nick Fitterman, MD; Mary Ann Forciea, MD, MS; Russell P. Harris, MD, MPH; Linda L. Humphrey, MD, MPH; Tanveer P. Mir, MD; Holger J. Schünemann, MD, PhD; J. Sanford Schwartz, MD; Donna E. Sweet, MD; and Timothy Wilt, MD, MPH. Approved by the ACP Board of Regents on 25 September 2013.

Table 1. Nonpharmacologic Treatments for UI

Treatment	Description
PFMT	Instruction on the voluntary contraction of pelvic floor muscles (Kegel exercises)
PFMT with biofeedback using vaginal EMG	PFMT with EMG probe used to give patients visual feedback on when they are properly contracting the pelvic floor muscles
Bladder training	Behavioral therapy that includes extending the time between voiding
Continence service	Treatment program involving nurses and clinicians trained in identifying, diagnosing, and appropriately treating patients with UI

EMG = electromyography; PFMT = pelvic floor muscle training; UI = urinary incontinence.

tive therapy has failed or who have anatomical abnormalities, are not addressed in this guideline.

This guideline from the American College of Physicians (ACP) presents the available evidence on the nonsurgical (pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic) treatment of UI in women in the primary care setting. It does not fully evaluate nonsurgical treatments, such as botulinum toxin or percutaneous nerve, magnetic, or electrical stimulation, because they are not typically used by or available to primary care physicians. The target audience includes all clinicians, and the target patient population is all women with UI. This guideline is based on a systematic evidence review sponsored by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (11) and an updated literature search (**Supplement**, available at www.annals.org).

METHODS

This guideline is based on a systematic evidence review (11) that addressed the following key questions related to the diagnosis and nonsurgical management of UI:

1. How effective is the nonpharmacologic treatment of UI in women?

1a. How do nonpharmacologic treatments affect incontinence, severity and frequency of UI, and quality of life compared with no active treatment?

1b. How do combined methods of nonpharmacologic treatments with drugs affect incontinence, severity and frequency of UI, and quality of life compared with no active treatment or monotherapy?

1c. What is the comparative effectiveness of different nonpharmacologic treatments?

1d. What are the harms of nonpharmacologic treatments compared with no active treatment?

1e. What are the comparative harms of different nonpharmacologic treatments?

1f. Which patient characteristics, including age, type and severity of UI, baseline disease that affects UI, adherence to treatment recommendations, and comorbid conditions, can modify the effects of nonpharmacologic treatments on patient outcomes, such as continence, quality of life, and harms?

2. How effective is the pharmacologic treatment of UI in women?

2a. How do pharmacologic treatments affect continence, severity and frequency of UI, and quality of life compared with no active treatment or combined treatment methods?

2b. What is the effectiveness of pharmacologic treatments compared with each other or with nonpharmacologic treatments of UI?

2c. What are the harms of pharmacologic treatments compared with no treatment?

2d. What are the harms of pharmacologic treatments of UI compared with each other or with nonpharmacologic treatments?

2e. Which patient characteristics, including age, type and severity of UI, baseline disease that affects UI, adherence to treatment recommendations, and comorbid conditions, can modify the effects of pharmacologic treatments on patient outcomes, such as continence, quality of life, and harms?

The systematic evidence review was done by the Minnesota Evidence-based Practice Center. The literature search included English-language studies published between 1990 and December 2011 identified using MEDLINE, the Cochrane Library, Scirus, and Google Scholar as well as manual searches of reference lists from systematic reviews. Literature was updated through December 2013, focusing on treatments most relevant to primary care (see the **Supplement** for details). Data were extracted using a standardized form, and study quality was assessed according to the *Methods Guide for Effectiveness and Comparative Effectiveness Reviews* (12). This guideline focuses on treatments most relevant to primary care clinicians; the full report (11) and published article (13) contain more details.

This guideline rates the evidence and recommendations by using ACP's guideline grading system (**Table 2**). Details of the guideline development process can be found in the methods paper (14).

DIAGNOSIS

Because most women with UI do not report it to their physicians (4), physicians should proactively ask female patients about bothersome UI symptoms as part of a routine review of systems. Clinicians should take a focused history and ask specific questions, such as the time of onset, symptoms, and frequency (4). Clinicians should also do a focused physical examination and evaluate neurologic symptoms. Asking such questions as "Do you have a problem with urinary incontinence (of your bladder) that is bothersome enough that you would like to know more about how it could be treated?" as part of a quality improvement intervention has been shown to increase appropriate care by 15% in patients aged 75 years or older (15).

TREATMENT

Complete continence, a clinically important improvement in UI (defined as reducing UI frequency by $\geq 50\%$), and quality of life were the primary outcomes assessed in the systematic review to evaluate the effectiveness of nonpharmacologic and pharmacologic treatments.

Nonpharmacologic Treatment

Appendix Table 1 (available at www.annals.org) summarizes nonpharmacologic treatments.

Stress UI: Nonpharmacologic Treatment

PFMT Versus No Active Treatment. High-quality evidence showed that PFMT is an effective UI treatment compared with no active treatment. Pooled data from studies that included women with stress UI (16–18) showed increased continence rates with PFMT compared with no active treatment (number needed to treat for benefit [NNT_B], 3 [95% CI, 2 to 5]). High-quality evidence showed that PFMT was more than 5 times as effective as no active treatment in improving UI (NNT_B, 2 [CI, 2 to 6]) (16, 19–23). In addition, studies reported improved quality of life (11).

PFMT With Biofeedback Using a Vaginal Electromyography Probe Versus No Active Treatment. Low-quality evidence showed that PFMT with biofeedback using a vaginal electromyography probe increased continence compared with no active treatment (16, 20). High-quality evidence showed that this treatment improved UI compared with no active treatment (NNT_B, 3 [CI, 2 to 6]) (16, 19, 20, 24).

Other Treatments. Evidence was insufficient to determine the effectiveness of vaginal cones and pessaries or of intravaginal and intraurethral devices versus no active treatment (11).

Urgency UI: Nonpharmacologic Treatment

Bladder Training Versus No Active Treatment. Low-quality evidence showed that bladder training improved UI compared with no active treatment (NNT_B, 2 [CI, 2 to 4]) (25, 26). However, evidence on bladder training for achieving complete continence was insufficient (11).

Mixed UI: Nonpharmacologic Treatment

PFMT Versus No Active Treatment. Pooled data from studies that included women with mixed UI (18, 20, 27) showed increased continence rates with PFMT compared with no active treatment.

PFMT Plus Bladder Training Versus No Active Treatment. High-quality evidence showed that PFMT combined with bladder training achieved continence (NNT_B, 6 [CI, 4 to 16]) (28–32) and improved UI (NNT_B, 3 [CI, 2 to 6]) (28, 30–32) compared with no active treatment.

Table 2. The American College of Physicians' Guideline Grading System*

Quality of Evidence	Strength of Recommendation	
	Benefits Clearly Outweigh Risks and Burden or Risks and Burden Clearly Outweigh Benefits	Benefits Finely Balanced With Risks and Burden
High	Strong	Weak
Moderate	Strong	Weak
Low	Strong	Weak
Insufficient evidence to determine net benefits or risks		

* Adopted from the classification developed by the GRADE (Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation) workgroup.

Continence Service Versus No Active Treatment. Continence service involves nurses and clinicians trained to identify, diagnose, and appropriately treat patients with UI. Moderate-quality evidence showed that this service yielded no statistically significant improvement in continence compared with no active treatment (33–35). Low-quality evidence showed no consistent statistically significant improvement in UI (35, 36).

Weight Loss and Physical Activity Versus No Active Treatment. Moderate-quality evidence indicated that weight loss and exercise improved UI in obese women (NNT_B, 4 [CI, 2 to 18]) (37, 38).

Other Treatments. Evidence was insufficient to determine the effectiveness of behavioral modification programs, a soy-enriched diet, or acupuncture for improving UI in women with mixed UI (11).

Comparative Effectiveness of Nonpharmacologic Treatments

No evidence showed that one nonpharmacologic treatment was superior to another in the various comparisons assessed for stress, urgency, or mixed UI. Further details are available in the full systematic review (11) and the **Supplement**.

Pharmacologic Treatment

Appendix Table 2 (available at www.annals.org) summarizes pharmacologic treatments.

Stress UI: Pharmacologic Treatment

Nonsystemic Estrogen Therapy Versus Placebo. Overall evidence was insufficient to determine the effectiveness of topical estrogen therapies at improving UI. Evidence showed increased continence and improved UI with vaginal estrogen formulations, but transdermal patches were associated with worsened UI. Studies used a range of estrogen applications.

Urinary incontinence improved with vaginal estrogen tablets (39) and vaginal ovules (40) compared with placebo. Vaginal estrogen tablets increased continence compared with placebo (NNT_B, 5 [CI, 3 to 12]) (39–42). An

estradiol implant did not improve UI compared with placebo (41).

Intravaginal Estriol Plus PFMT Versus Intravaginal Estriol. Low-quality evidence from 1 study showed that a combination of intravaginal estriol plus PFMT more effectively achieved continence than intravaginal estriol alone (NNT_B, 1 [CI, 1 to 2]) (43).

Duloxetine Versus Placebo. Low-quality evidence showed that continence was reduced less with duloxetine than placebo (44, 45). High-quality evidence showed that duloxetine did not statistically significantly improve UI compared with placebo (NNT_B, 13 [CI, 7 to 143]) (44, 46–49). Low-quality evidence showed that duloxetine improved quality of life (45, 49, 50). However, quality of life did not improve in women with severe stress UI or overactive bladder (46, 51).

Urgency UI: Pharmacologic Treatment With Antimuscarinics

Darifenacin Versus Placebo. High-quality evidence showed that darifenacin improved UI compared with placebo (NNT_B, 9 [CI, 6 to 18]) (52–54). Achieving complete continence was not studied as an outcome with darifenacin treatment. High-quality evidence also showed that darifenacin improved quality of life (11).

Fesoterodine Versus Placebo. Moderate-quality evidence showed that fesoterodine achieved continence more than placebo (NNT_B, 8 [CI, 6 to 11]) (55–57). High-quality evidence also showed an improvement in UI (NNT_B, 10 [CI, 7 to 18]) (56, 58–60). Low-quality evidence showed that fesoterodine also improved quality of life (11).

Oxybutynin Versus Placebo. High-quality evidence showed that oxybutynin achieved continence more than placebo (NNT_B, 9 [CI, 6 to 16]) (61–65). Moderate-quality evidence showed that this agent also improved UI (NNT_B, 6 [CI, 4 to 11]) (24, 61, 62, 64, 66–73).

Propiverine Versus Placebo. Low-quality evidence showed that propiverine achieved continence more than placebo (NNT_B, 6 [CI, 4 to 12]) (74, 75), and moderate-quality evidence showed that it improved UI (NNT_B, 5 [CI, 4 to 8]) (74–76) compared with placebo.

Solifenacin Versus Placebo. High-quality evidence showed that solifenacin achieved continence more than placebo (NNT_B, 9 [CI, 6 to 17]) (77–81), and low-quality evidence indicated that it resolved UI compared with placebo (NNT_B, 6 [CI, 4 to 10]) (81, 82). Low-quality evidence from 1 study showed that higher doses of solifenacin (10 mg/d vs. 5 mg/d) did not decrease the frequency of UI episodes and were associated with increased risk for adverse effects (83).

Tolterodine Versus Placebo. High-quality evidence showed that tolterodine achieved continence (NNT_B, 12 [CI, 8 to 25]) (55, 56, 84, 85) and improved UI (NNT_B, 10 [CI, 7 to 24]) (55, 56, 59, 86–90) more than placebo. Low-quality evidence showed that tolterodine improved quality of life (11).

Trospium Versus Placebo. High-quality evidence showed that trospium achieved continence more than placebo (NNT_B, 9 [CI, 7 to 12]) (91–94). Low-quality evidence did not show a statistically significant improvement in UI compared with placebo (94, 95). Individual studies showed that trospium improved quality of life (11).

Urgency UI: Pharmacologic Treatment With β_3 -Adrenoceptor Agonists

Mirabegron Versus Placebo. Moderate-quality evidence showed that mirabegron achieved continence more than placebo (NNT_B, 12 [CI, 7 to 29]) and improved UI compared with placebo (NNT_B, 9 [CI, 6 to 17]) (96). Low-quality evidence showed that higher doses of mirabegron improved treatment satisfaction and quality of life compared with lower doses (150 mg/d vs. 100 mg/d) (97).

Solabegron Versus Placebo. Evidence was insufficient to determine the effect of solabegron on continence or improving UI, but low-quality evidence showed that it decreased the frequency of UI episodes in a dose-dependent manner (98).

Urgency UI: Other Pharmacologic Treatments

Evidence was insufficient to determine the clinical effectiveness of resiniferatoxin or nimodipine compared with placebo for treatment of UI (11).

Urgency UI: Comparative Effectiveness of Pharmacologic Treatments

Fesoterodine Versus Tolterodine. Moderate-quality evidence showed that fesoterodine achieved continence more often than tolterodine (NNT_B, 18 [CI, 11 to 52]) (55, 56, 99). High-quality evidence showed that fesoterodine improved UI more than tolterodine (NNT_B, 36 [CI, 17 to 1000]) (55, 56, 59, 90).

Oxybutynin Versus Tolterodine. Low-quality evidence showed no difference between oxybutynin and tolterodine for achieving continence (100). Moderate-quality evidence showed no difference for improving UI (66, 68, 100, 101).

Tolterodine Versus Trospium. Low-quality evidence from 1 study showed that tolterodine and trospium were similarly effective at treating urgency UI (100).

Solifenacin Versus Tolterodine. Evidence was insufficient to compare solifenacin with tolterodine for effects on continence or improvement of UI (11).

Trospium Versus Oxybutynin. Low-quality evidence showed no differences between trospium and oxybutynin for effects on continence or improvement of UI (100).

Other Comparisons. Evidence was insufficient to determine the comparative effectiveness on continence or improvement of UI for darifenacin, propiverine, solifenacin, or flavoxate versus oxybutynin; solifenacin versus darifenacin; or tolterodine or solifenacin versus propiverine (11).

Comparative Effectiveness of Pharmacologic Versus Nonpharmacologic Treatments

Low-quality evidence from 1 study showed that PFMT plus bladder training improved UI more than tolterodine alone (102).

Role of Patient Characteristics on Outcomes of Pharmacologic Treatments

Age. Moderate-quality evidence showed that age did not modify clinical outcomes associated with pharmacologic treatment (11). High-quality evidence showed that trospium, oxybutynin, and darifenacin effectively improved UI and quality of life in older women (52, 71, 92). High-quality evidence also showed that solifenacin achieved continence more often than placebo, regardless of age (77).

Race. Evidence was inconclusive about differences among various racial groups.

Baseline Frequency of UI. Low-quality evidence showed that the baseline frequency of UI was not associated with statistically significantly different clinical outcomes for any drugs examined (11). However, women with more frequent UI episodes had slightly greater benefits with active pharmacologic treatment than placebo (103, 104).

Prior Treatment Response. High-quality evidence showed that solifenacin achieved continence more than placebo regardless of the response to previous treatments; a larger dose did not improve an initially poor response (77).

Concomitant Treatments. Moderate-quality evidence indicated that trospium reduced the number of urgency UI episodes regardless of whether the patient was receiving other drugs. Patients receiving 7 or more concomitant medications had more adverse effects than those receiving fewer than 7 (105).

Obesity. Evidence did not show any difference in effectiveness of trospium in achieving continence in obese or nonobese patients (106).

Adverse Effects

Nonpharmacologic Treatments

The risk for adverse effects associated with nonpharmacologic treatments was low.

Pharmacologic Treatments

Appendix Table 2 summarizes the adverse effects associated with pharmacologic treatments, which were similar within drug classes. The most commonly reported adverse effects associated with antimuscarinics included dry mouth, constipation, and blurred vision. Evidence showed that fesoterodine (high-quality; number needed to treat for harm [NNT_H], 7 [CI, 5 to 9]) (56, 57, 60, 107, 108), solifenacin (high-quality; NNT_H, 6 [CI, 4 to 12]) (78, 79, 82, 109), tolterodine (high-quality; NNT_H, 12 [CI, 8 to 21]) (56, 66, 85, 107, 109–116), and trospium (moderate-quality; NNT_H, 8 [CI, 6 to 11]) (91–93, 112, 117) had higher rates of adverse effects than placebo. Moderate-quality evidence showed that adverse effects, including dry

mouth and headache, were more common with fesoterodine than with tolterodine (NNT_H, 11 [CI, 8 to 17]) (99). Dry mouth and insomnia were more frequently reported for oxybutynin than for tolterodine (100).

Dizziness was more frequently reported for trospium, and dry mouth and insomnia were more frequently reported for oxybutynin (100). Tolterodine has also been associated with increased risk for hallucinations (118). Nasopharyngitis and gastrointestinal disorders were more frequent with mirabegron than placebo (96, 97).

Discontinuation of treatment due to adverse effects was common. Evidence showed that discontinuation due to adverse effects was higher for fesoterodine (high-quality; NNT_H, 33 [CI, 18 to 102]) (55, 56, 58–60, 119), oxybutynin (high-quality; NNT_H, 16 [CI, 8 to 86]) (65–68, 72, 120), propiverine (low-quality; NNT_H, 29 [CI, 16 to 77]) (75, 121), solifenacin (high-quality; NNT_H, 78 [CI, 39 to 823]) (77–80, 82, 121–123), and trospium (high-quality; NNT_H, 56 [CI, 30 to 228]) (92–94, 117, 124) than for placebo. High-quality evidence showed no statistically significant difference in treatment discontinuation rates due to adverse effects between darifenacin (52–54, 125–127) or tolterodine and placebo (55, 56, 59, 66, 87, 107, 110, 111, 113, 115, 123, 128, 129).

Discontinuation due to adverse effects was higher with fesoterodine than tolterodine (moderate-quality; NNT_H, 58 [CI, 33 to 206]) (55, 56, 59, 107) and with oxybutynin than tolterodine (high-quality; NNT_H, 14 [CI, 7 to 145]) (67, 68, 101, 110, 130–135). Discontinuation of treatment due to adverse effects did not differ between solifenacin and tolterodine (moderate-quality) (123, 136–138) or between trospium and oxybutynin (low-quality) (100, 139, 140).

SUMMARY

Nonpharmacologic therapies were effective at managing UI, had a large magnitude of benefit for increasing continence rates, and were associated with a low risk for adverse effects. Pelvic floor muscle training alone and in combination with bladder training or biofeedback and weight loss with exercise for obese women were effective at achieving continence and improving UI. Evidence was insufficient to compare nonpharmacologic therapies with one another or with pharmacologic therapies; head-to-head comparisons would be useful.

Pharmacologic therapies were effective and equally efficacious at managing urgency UI and had a moderate magnitude of benefit in achieving continence rates but were associated with adverse effects. In addition, evidence showed that some patients were likely to discontinue pharmacologic treatment because of adverse effects. Solifenacin was associated with the lowest risk for discontinuation due to adverse effects, whereas oxybutynin was associated with the highest risk.

Only darifenacin and tolterodine had risks for discontinuation due to adverse effects similar to placebo. Evi-

Figure. Summary of the American College of Physicians guideline on nonsurgical management of urinary incontinence in women.

SUMMARY OF THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS GUIDELINE ON NONSURGICAL MANAGEMENT OF URINARY INCONTINENCE IN WOMEN

Disease/Condition	UI
Target Audience	Internists, family physicians, and other clinicians
Target Patient Population	Women with UI
Interventions Evaluated	<p>Nonpharmacologic: PFMT, bladder training, vaginal cones, medical devices, continence services, and weight loss and physical activity</p> <p>Pharmacologic: Antimuscarinics: Darifenacin, fesoterodine, oxybutynin, propiverine, solifenacin, tolterodine, trospium β_3-Adrenoceptor agonists: Mirabegron and solabegron Other: Duloxetine and estrogen</p>
Outcomes Evaluated	Continence, improvement in UI, quality of life, and adverse effects
Benefits	Continence, $\geq 50\%$ reduction in the frequency of UI episodes
Harms	<p>Nonpharmacologic: Low risk for adverse effects</p> <p>Pharmacologic: The most commonly reported adverse effects included dry mouth, constipation, and blurred vision for antimuscarinics; nasopharyngitis and gastrointestinal disorders were associated with the β_3-adrenoceptor agonist mirabegron</p>
Recommendations	<p><i>Recommendation 1: ACP recommends first-line treatment with PFMT in women with stress UI. (Grade: strong recommendation, high-quality evidence)</i></p> <p><i>Recommendation 2: ACP recommends bladder training in women with urgency UI. (Grade: weak recommendation, low-quality evidence)</i></p> <p><i>Recommendation 3: ACP recommends PFMT with bladder training in women with mixed UI. (Grade: strong recommendation, high-quality evidence)</i></p> <p><i>Recommendation 4: ACP recommends against treatment with systemic pharmacologic therapy for stress UI. (Grade: strong recommendation, low-quality evidence)</i></p> <p><i>Recommendation 5: ACP recommends pharmacologic treatment in women with urgency UI if bladder training was unsuccessful. Clinicians should base the choice of pharmacologic agents on tolerability, adverse effect profile, ease of use, and cost of medication. (Grade: strong recommendation, high-quality evidence)</i></p> <p><i>Recommendation 6: ACP recommends weight loss and exercise for obese women with UI. (Grade: strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence)</i></p>
High-Value Care	UI is a common and important health care problem in women that is underreported and underdiagnosed. Clinicians should take a detailed history and ask specific questions about UI, such as the time of onset, symptoms, and frequency. Clinicians should use nonpharmacologic management for UI, such as PFMT for stress UI, bladder training for urgency UI, and PFMT with bladder training for mixed UI, because they are effective, have few adverse effects, and are cheaper than pharmacologic therapies. Although pharmacologic therapy can improve UI and provide complete continence, many patients discontinue medication because of adverse effects.
Clinical Considerations	<p>Vulnerable populations include women aged >65 y, nursing home residents, and women receiving Medicare home care services. At least one half of women with UI do not report the issue to their physician.</p> <p>Pharmacologic treatment should be based on harms, because most drugs are similarly efficacious.</p> <p>Identifying and managing conditions that may cause UI, such as urinary tract infections; metabolic disorder; excess fluid intake; and impaired mental conditions, such as delirium, are important.</p> <p>Clinicians should identify whether patients are receiving medications that may cause or worsen UI.</p>

PFMT = pelvic floor muscle training; UI = urinary incontinence.

dence was insufficient to compare most drugs with one another for safety and efficacy. Tolterodine and oxybutynin resulted in the same benefits, but tolterodine caused fewer harms.

Of note, many studies did not fully specify details of the patient populations studied, including whether they received prior treatment for UI, which could potentially influence treatment response. The NNT_B and NNT_H should be interpreted with care because of inherent limitations with statistics expressing absolute benefits or harms. The patient population, disease severity, and treatment duration are factors that influence the NNT_B and NNT_H . In

addition, because these statistics are derived from the risk difference, they are ultimately an expression of a specific treatment versus a specific control (active or placebo) and should not be used to indirectly compare NNT_B and NNT_H across various treatments. See the **Figure** for a summary of the recommendations and clinical considerations.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommendation 1: ACP recommends first-line treatment with PFMT in women with stress UI. (Grade: strong recommendation, high-quality evidence)

Pelvic floor muscle training increased continence rates and improved UI and quality of life in women with stress UI. Nonpharmacologic therapy with PFMT should be first-line treatment for women with UI.

Recommendation 2: ACP recommends bladder training in women with urgency UI. (Grade: weak recommendation, low-quality evidence)

Bladder training improved UI for women with urgency UI. The addition of PFMT to bladder training did not improve continence compared with bladder training alone for urgency UI.

Recommendation 3: ACP recommends PFMT with bladder training in women with mixed UI. (Grade: strong recommendation, high-quality evidence)

Pelvic floor muscle training combined with bladder training improved continence and UI in women with mixed UI.

Recommendation 4: ACP recommends against treatment with systemic pharmacologic therapy for stress UI. (Grade: strong recommendation, low-quality evidence)

Treatment of stress UI with standard pharmacologic therapies used for urgency UI has not been shown to be effective. Vaginal estrogen formulations improved continence and stress UI, but transdermal estrogen patches worsened UI.

Recommendation 5: ACP recommends pharmacologic treatment in women with urgency UI if bladder training was unsuccessful. Clinicians should base the choice of pharmacologic agents on tolerability, adverse effect profile, ease of use, and cost of medication. (Grade: strong recommendation, high-quality evidence)

Pharmacologic therapies were effective and equally efficacious at managing urgency UI and had a moderate magnitude of benefit in achieving continence rates. However, they were associated with adverse effects and evidence showed that some patients were likely to discontinue pharmacologic treatment because of these effects. For urgency UI, oxybutynin, tolterodine, darifenacin, solifenacin, fesoterodine, and trospium increased continence rates and improved UI.

Evidence was insufficient to evaluate the comparative effectiveness of different drugs and to determine the long-term safety of pharmacologic treatments for UI. Patient characteristics, such as age, race, comorbid conditions, or baseline UI, did not affect the outcomes of the various pharmacologic medications. However, adherence to pharmacologic treatments for UI was poor.

Adverse effects were a major reason for treatment discontinuation. Clinicians and their patients should compare the risk for pharmacologic adverse effects with the severity and bothersomeness of the patient's symptoms. **Appendix Table 2** shows the quality of evidence for outcomes of continence and improvement of UI as well as the adverse effects for the various drugs.

Evidence was insufficient to evaluate the comparative effectiveness of nonpharmacologic versus pharmacologic

treatments for UI, and nonpharmacologic treatment should be considered first-line therapy. Evidence showed that nonpharmacologic treatments were better than no treatment in achieving continence and improving UI with a large magnitude of effect and are associated with a low risk for adverse effects. Pharmacologic treatments are associated with adverse effects that may be intolerable and lead to discontinuation of treatment. Clinicians and patients should keep in mind the costs of treatment, especially long-term costs, when choosing treatment.

Recommendation 6: ACP recommends weight loss and exercise for obese women with UI. (Grade: strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence)

Weight loss and exercise improved UI in obese women with no evident harms. In addition, the benefits of weight loss in obese women extend beyond improvement of UI.

ACP HIGH-VALUE CARE

Urinary incontinence is a common and important health care problem in women that is underreported and underdiagnosed. Clinicians should take a detailed history and ask specific questions, such as the time of onset, symptoms, and frequency. Clinicians should use nonpharmacologic management for UI, such as PFMT for stress UI, bladder training for urgency UI, and PFMT with bladder training for mixed UI, because these therapies are effective, have few adverse effects, and are cheaper than pharmacologic therapies. Although pharmacologic therapy can improve UI and provide complete continence, many patients discontinue medication because of adverse effects.

From the American College of Physicians and University of Pennsylvania Health System, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; Virginia Tech Carilion School of Medicine and Carilion Clinic, Roanoke, Virginia; and West Los Angeles Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Los Angeles, California.

Note: Clinical practice guidelines are "guides" only and may not apply to all patients and all clinical situations. Thus, they are not intended to override clinicians' judgment. All ACP clinical practice guidelines are considered automatically withdrawn or invalid 5 years after publication or once an update has been issued.

Disclaimer: The authors of this article are responsible for its contents, including any clinical or treatment recommendations. No statement in this article should be construed as an official position of the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs.

Acknowledgment: The authors thank Timothy Wilt, MD, MPH, and Tatyana Shamliyan, MD, for updating the literature from the original evidence review for this guideline.

Financial Support: Financial support for the development of this guideline comes exclusively from the ACP operating budget.

Disclosures: Dr. Dallas reports support for travel to meetings for the study or other purposes from the American College of Physicians and stock/stock options from Pfizer, Ortho-McNeil, Sanofi-Aventis, GlaxoSmithKline, and Merck. Dr. Shekelle reports personal fees from

ECRI Institute and the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs; grants from the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, and Office of the National Coordinator for Health and Information Technology; and a patent with royalties paid to UpToDate. Dr. Shekelle is the coauthor of a draft paper with Dr. Jennifer Anger on "The Quality of Care Provided to Women With Urinary Incontinence" and a published 2013 paper on the "Development of Quality Indicators for Women With Urinary Incontinence" in *Neurology and Urodynamics* that was funded by the Patient-Oriented Research Career Development Award (1 K23 DK080227, JTA) and an American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) Supplement Award (5RC1EB010649) from the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases; his role was a mentor to the K23 award, and he received no financial compensation for this role. Dr. Barry reports grants and other support from Informed Medical Decisions Foundation (a nonprofit organization) and other support from Healthwise (a nonprofit organization) outside the submitted work. Dr. Cooke reports support for travel to meetings for the study or other purposes from the American College of Physicians; board membership on the National Board of Medical Examiners; consultancy for the University of Texas; employment at the University of California, San Francisco; and travel/accommodations/meeting expenses unrelated to activities listed from the American Board of Internal Medicine (ABIM) and the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education. Dr. Fitterman is a member of the ABIM Examination Committee. To protect the integrity of Board Certification, the ABIM enforces the confidentiality and its ownership of ABIM exam content, and Dr. Fitterman has agreed to keep ABIM exam content confidential. No ABIM exam content is shared or otherwise disclosed in this article. Dr. Schwartz reports other support from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, during the conduct of the study; personal fees from Allergan, Bayer, the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association, General Electric, UBC, and Genentech; and grants from Pfizer. Authors not named here have disclosed no conflicts of interest. Authors followed the policy regarding conflicts of interest described at www.annals.org/article.aspx?articleid=745942. Disclosures can also be viewed at www.acponline.org/authors/icmje/ConflictOfInterestForms.do?msNum=M13-2410. A record of conflicts of interest is kept for each Clinical Guidelines Committee meeting and conference call and can be viewed at www.acponline.org/clinical_information/guidelines/guidelines/conflicts_cgc.htm.

Requests for Single Reprints: Amir Qaseem, MD, PhD, MHA, American College of Physicians, 190 N. Independence Mall West, Philadelphia, PA 19106; e-mail, aqaseem@acponline.org.

Current author addresses and author contributions are available at www.annals.org.

References

1. Carls C. The prevalence of stress urinary incontinence in high school and college-age female athletes in the midwest: implications for education and prevention. *Urol Nurs*. 2007;27:21-4. [PMID: 17390923]
2. Kinchen KS, Lee J, Fireman B, Hunkeler E, Nehemiah JL, Curtice TG. The prevalence, burden, and treatment of urinary incontinence among women in a managed care plan. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)*. 2007;16:415-22. [PMID: 17439386]
3. Sampsel CM, Harlow SD, Skurnick J, Brubaker L, Bondarenko I. Urinary incontinence predictors and life impact in ethnically diverse perimenopausal women. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2002;100:1230-8. [PMID: 12468167]
4. Griffiths AN, Makam A, Edwards GJ. Should we actively screen for urinary and anal incontinence in the general gynaecology outpatients setting?—a prospective observational study. *J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2006;26:442-4. [PMID: 16846873]
5. Shamlivan T, Wyman J, Bliss DZ, Kane RL, Wilt TJ. Prevention of urinary and fecal incontinence in adults. *Evid Rep Technol Assess (Full Rep)*. 2007;1:379. [PMID: 18457475]
6. Morrison A, Levy R. Fraction of nursing home admissions attributable to urinary incontinence. *Value Health*. 2006;9:272-4. [PMID: 16903997]
7. Haylen BT, de Ridder D, Freeman RM, Swift SE, Berghmans B, Lee J, et al; International Urogynecological Association. An International Urogynecological Association (IUGA)/International Continence Society (ICS) joint report on the terminology for female pelvic floor dysfunction. *Neurourol Urodyn*. 2010;29:4-20. [PMID: 19941278] doi:10.1002/nau.20798
8. Hay Smith J, Berghmans B, Burgio K, Dumoulin C, Hagen S, Moore K, et al. Committee 12: adult conservative management. In: Abrams P, Cardozo L, Khoury S, Wein A, eds. *Incontinence: 4th International Consultation on Incontinence*, Paris, July 5-8, 2008. Paris: Health Publications; 2009:1025-120.
9. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; U.S. Food and Drug Administration; Center for Devices and Radiological Health. Draft Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Clinical Investigations of Devices Indicated for the Treatment of Urinary Incontinence. Rockville, MD: U.S. Food and Drug Administration; 2008.
10. Yalcin I, Peng G, Viktrup L, Bump RC. Reductions in stress urinary incontinence episodes: what is clinically important for women? *Neurourol Urodyn*. 2010;29:344-7. [PMID: 19475576] doi:10.1002/nau.20744
11. Shamlivan T, Wyman J, Kane RL. Nonsurgical Treatments for Urinary Incontinence in Adult Women: Diagnosis and Comparative Effectiveness. Comparative Effectiveness Review no. 36. AHRQ publication no. 11(12)-EHC074-EF. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2012. Accessed at www.effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/ehc/products/169/834/urinary-incontinence-treatment-report-130909.pdf on 31 July 2014.
12. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. *Methods Guide for Comparative Effectiveness Reviews*. 1st ed. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2007.
13. Shamlivan T, Wyman JF, Ramakrishnan R, Sainfort F, Kane RL. Benefits and harms of pharmacologic treatment for urinary incontinence in women: a systematic review. *Ann Intern Med*. 2012;156:861-74. [PMID: 22711079] doi:10.7326/0003-4819-156-12-201206190-00436
14. Qaseem A, Snow V, Owens DK, Shekelle P; Clinical Guidelines Committee of the American College of Physicians. The development of clinical practice guidelines and guidance statements of the American College of Physicians: summary of methods. *Ann Intern Med*. 2010;153:194-9. [PMID: 20679562] doi:10.7326/0003-4819-153-3-201008030-00010
15. Wenger NS, Roth CP, Shekelle PG, Young RT, Solomon DH, Kamberg CJ, et al. A practice-based intervention to improve primary care for falls, urinary incontinence, and dementia. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2009;57:547-55. [PMID: 19175441] doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2008.02128.x
16. Aksac B, Aki S, Karan A, Yalcin O, Isikoglu M, Eskiyurt N. Biofeedback and pelvic floor exercises for the rehabilitation of urinary stress incontinence. *Gynecol Obstet Invest*. 2003;56:23-7. [PMID: 12867764]
17. Bo K, Talseth T, Holme I. Single blind, randomised controlled trial of pelvic floor exercises, electrical stimulation, vaginal cones, and no treatment in management of genuine stress incontinence in women. *BMJ*. 1999;318:487-93. [PMID: 10024253]
18. Kim H, Yoshida H, Suzuki T. Exercise treatment to reduce the urine leakage in elderly community-dwelling Japanese women with stress, urge, and mixed urinary incontinence [Abstract]. Presented at 39th Annual Meeting of the International Continence Society, San Francisco, California, 29 September–3 October 2009. Abstract no. 772.
19. Burns PA, Pranikoff K, Nochajski T, Desotelle P, Harwood MK. Treatment of stress incontinence with pelvic floor exercises and biofeedback. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 1990;38:341-4. [PMID: 2179379]
20. Burns PA, Pranikoff K, Nochajski TH, Hadley EC, Levy KJ, Ory MG. A comparison of effectiveness of biofeedback and pelvic muscle exercise treatment of stress incontinence in older community-dwelling women. *J Gerontol*. 1993;48:M167-74. [PMID: 8315230]
21. Castro RA, Arruda RM, Zanetti MR, Santos PD, Sartori MG, Girão MJ. Single-blind, randomized, controlled trial of pelvic floor muscle training, electrical stimulation, vaginal cones, and no active treatment in the management of stress urinary incontinence. *Clinics (Sao Paulo)*. 2008;63:465-72. [PMID: 18719756]
22. Hung HC, Hsiao SM, Chih SY, Lin HH, Tsauo JY. An alternative intervention for urinary incontinence: retraining diaphragmatic, deep abdominal and

- pelvic floor muscle coordinated function. *Man Ther.* 2010;15:273-9. [PMID: 20185357] doi:10.1016/j.math.2010.01.008
23. Lagro-Janssen TL, Debruyne FM, Smits AJ, van Weel C. Controlled trial of pelvic floor exercises in the treatment of urinary stress incontinence in general practice. *Br J Gen Pract.* 1991;41:445-9. [PMID: 1807303]
 24. Goode PS, Burgio KL, Locher JL, Umlauf MG, Lloyd LK, Roth DL. Urodynamic changes associated with behavioral and drug treatment of urge incontinence in older women. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2002;50:808-16. [PMID: 12028165]
 25. Fantl JA, Wyman JF, McClish DK, Harkins SW, Elswick RK, Taylor JR, et al. Efficacy of bladder training in older women with urinary incontinence. *JAMA.* 1991;265:609-13. [PMID: 1987410]
 26. Subak LL, Quesenberry CP, Posner SF, Cattolica E, Soghikian K. The effect of behavioral therapy on urinary incontinence: a randomized controlled trial. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2002;100:72-8. [PMID: 12100806]
 27. Williams KS, Assassa RP, Gillies CL, Abrams KR, Turner DA, Shaw C, et al; Leicestershire MRC Incontinence Study Team. A randomized controlled trial of the effectiveness of pelvic floor therapies for urodynamic stress and mixed incontinence. *BJU Int.* 2006;98:1043-50. [PMID: 17034605]
 28. Diokno AC, Sampselle CM, Herzog AR, Raghunathan TE, Hines S, Messer K, et al. Prevention of urinary incontinence by behavioral modification program: a randomized, controlled trial among older women in the community. *J Urol.* 2004;171:1165-71. [PMID: 14767293]
 29. Kumari S, Jain V, Mandal AK, Singh A. Behavioral therapy for urinary incontinence in India. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet.* 2008;103:125-30. [PMID: 18755458] doi:10.1016/j.ijgo.2008.06.019
 30. Lagro-Janssen AL, Debruyne FM, Smits AJ, van Weel C. The effects of treatment of urinary incontinence in general practice. *Fam Pract.* 1992;9:284-9. [PMID: 1459383]
 31. McFall SL, Yerkes AM, Cowan LD. Outcomes of a small group educational intervention for urinary incontinence: episodes of incontinence and other urinary symptoms. *J Aging Health.* 2000;12:250-67. [PMID: 11010699]
 32. O'Brien J, Austin M, Sethi P, O'Boyle P. Urinary incontinence: prevalence, need for treatment, and effectiveness of intervention by nurse. *BMJ.* 1991;303:1308-12. [PMID: 1747675]
 33. Kim JI. Continence efficacy intervention program for community residing women with stress urinary incontinence in Japan. *Public Health Nurs.* 2001;18:64-72. [PMID: 11251875]
 34. Moore KH, O'Sullivan RJ, Simons A, Prashar S, Anderson P, Louey M. Randomised controlled trial of nurse continence advisor therapy compared with standard urogynaecology regimen for conservative incontinence treatment: efficacy, costs and two year follow up. *BJOG.* 2003;110:649-57. [PMID: 12842055]
 35. Williams KS, Assassa RP, Cooper NJ, Turner DA, Shaw C, Abrams KR, et al; Leicestershire MRC Incontinence Study Team. Clinical and cost-effectiveness of a new nurse-led continence service: a randomised controlled trial. *Br J Gen Pract.* 2005;55:696-703. [PMID: 16176737]
 36. O'Brien J. Evaluating primary care interventions for incontinence. *Nurs Stand.* 1996;10:40-3. [PMID: 8695463]
 37. Subak LL, Whitcomb E, Shen H, Saxton J, Vittinghoff E, Brown JS. Weight loss: a novel and effective treatment for urinary incontinence. *J Urol.* 2005;174:190-5. [PMID: 15947625]
 38. Subak LL, Wing R, West DS, Franklin F, Vittinghoff E, Creasman JM, et al; PRIDE Investigators. Weight loss to treat urinary incontinence in overweight and obese women. *N Engl J Med.* 2009;360:481-90. [PMID: 19179316] doi:10.1056/NEJMoa0806375
 39. Holtedahl K, Verelst M, Schiefloe A. A population based, randomized, controlled trial of conservative treatment for urinary incontinence in women. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* 1998;77:671-7. [PMID: 9688247]
 40. Dessole S, Rubattu G, Ambrosini G, Gallo O, Capobianco G, Cherchi PL, et al. Efficacy of low-dose intravaginal estriol on urogenital aging in postmenopausal women. *Menopause.* 2004;11:49-56. [PMID: 14716182]
 41. Rufford J, Hextall A, Cardozo L, Khullar V. A double-blind placebo-controlled trial on the effects of 25 mg estradiol implants on the urge syndrome in postmenopausal women. *Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct.* 2003;14:78-83. [PMID: 12851747]
 42. Waetjen LE, Brown JS, Vittinghoff E, Ensrud KE, Pinkerton J, Wallace R, et al. The effect of ultralow-dose transdermal estradiol on urinary incontinence in postmenopausal women. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2005;106:946-52. [PMID: 16260511]
 43. Capobianco G, Donolo E, Borghero G, Dessole F, Cherchi PL, Dessole S. Effects of intravaginal estriol and pelvic floor rehabilitation on urogenital aging in postmenopausal women. *Arch Gynecol Obstet.* 2012;285:397-403. [PMID: 21706345] doi:10.1007/s00404-011-1955-1
 44. Millard RJ, Moore K, Rencken R, Yalcin I, Bump RC; Duloxetine UI Study Group. Duloxetine vs placebo in the treatment of stress urinary incontinence: a four-continent randomized clinical trial. *BJU Int.* 2004;93:311-8. [PMID: 14764128]
 45. Norton PA, Zinner NR, Yalcin I, Bump RC; Duloxetine Urinary Incontinence Study Group. Duloxetine versus placebo in the treatment of stress urinary incontinence. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2002;187:40-8. [PMID: 12114886]
 46. Cardozo L, Drutz HP, Baygani SK, Bump RC. Pharmacological treatment of women awaiting surgery for stress urinary incontinence. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2004;104:511-9. [PMID: 15339761]
 47. Cardozo L, Lange R, Voss S, Beardsworth A, Manning M, Viktrup L, et al. Short- and long-term efficacy and safety of duloxetine in women with predominant stress urinary incontinence. *Curr Med Res Opin.* 2010;26:253-61. [PMID: 19929591] doi:10.1185/03007990903438295
 48. Lin AT, Sun MJ, Tai HL, Chuang YC, Huang ST, Wang N, et al. Duloxetine versus placebo for the treatment of women with stress predominant urinary incontinence in Taiwan: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *BMC Urol.* 2008;8:2. [PMID: 18221532] doi:10.1186/1471-2490-8-2
 49. Yalcin I, Patrick DL, Summers K, Kinchen K, Bump RC. Minimal clinically important differences in incontinence quality-of-life scores in stress urinary incontinence. *Urology.* 2006;67:1304-8. [PMID: 16750246]
 50. Dmochowski RR, Miklos JR, Norton PA, Zinner NR, Yalcin I, Bump RC; Duloxetine Urinary Incontinence Study Group. Duloxetine versus placebo for the treatment of North American women with stress urinary incontinence. *J Urol.* 2003;170:1259-63. [PMID: 14501737]
 51. Steers WD, Herschorn S, Kreder KJ, Moore K, Strohbehn K, Yalcin I, et al; Duloxetine OAB Study Group. Duloxetine compared with placebo for treating women with symptoms of overactive bladder. *BJU Int.* 2007;100:337-45. [PMID: 17511767]
 52. Chapple C, DuBeau C, Ebinger U, Rebeda L, Viegas A. Darifenacin treatment of patients ≥ 65 years with overactive bladder: results of a randomized, controlled, 12-week trial. *Curr Med Res Opin.* 2007;23:2347-58. [PMID: 17706004]
 53. Hill S, Khullar V, Wyndaele JJ, Lheritier K; Darifenacin Study Group. Dose response with darifenacin, a novel once-daily M3 selective receptor antagonist for the treatment of overactive bladder: results of a fixed dose study. *Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct.* 2006;17:239-47. [PMID: 15999217]
 54. Steers W, Corcos J, Foote J, Kralidis G. An investigation of dose titration with darifenacin, an M3-selective receptor antagonist. *BJU Int.* 2005;95:580-6. [PMID: 15705084]
 55. Kaplan SA, Schneider T, Foote J, Guan Z. Superior efficacy of fesoterodine over tolterodine with rapid onset: a prospective, head-to-head, placebo-controlled trial [Abstract]. Presented at Joint Meeting of the International Continence Society and the International Urogynecological Association, Toronto, Canada, 23-27 August 2010. Abstract no. 67.
 56. Clinical Trial to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Fesoterodine in Comparison to Tolterodine for Overactive Bladder (OAB). *ClinicalTrials.gov*: NCT00444925. Accessed at <http://clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT00444925> 31 July 2014.
 57. Huang AJ, Hess R, Arya LA, Richter HE, Subak LL, Bradley CS, et al. Pharmacologic treatment for urgency-predominant urinary incontinence in women diagnosed using a simplified algorithm: a randomized trial. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2012;206:444.e1-11. [PMID: 22542122] doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2012.03.002
 58. Dmochowski RR, Peters KM, Morrow JD, Guan Z, Gong J, Sun F, et al. Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of flexible-dose fesoterodine in subjects with overactive bladder. *Urology.* 2010;75:62-8. [PMID: 19931895] doi:10.1016/j.urology.2009.09.018
 59. Herschorn S, Swift S, Guan Z, Carlsson M, Morrow JD, Brodsky M, et al. Comparison of fesoterodine and tolterodine extended release for the treatment of overactive bladder: a head-to-head placebo-controlled trial. *BJU Int.* 2010;105:58-66. [PMID: 20132103] doi:10.1111/j.1464-410X.2009.09086.x
 60. Fesoterodine Flexible Dose Study. *ClinicalTrials.gov*: NCT00536484. Accessed at <http://clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT00536484> on 31 July 2014.
 61. Burgio KL, Locher JL, Goode PS, Hardin JM, McDowell BJ, Dombrowski M, et al. Behavioral vs drug treatment for urge urinary incontinence in older

- women: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 1998;280:1995-2000. [PMID: 9863850]
62. Goode PS. Behavioral and drug therapy for urinary incontinence. *Urology*. 2004;63:58-64. [PMID: 15013654]
63. Lehtoranta K, Tainio H, Lukkari-Lax E, Hakonen T, Tammela TL. Pharmacokinetics, efficacy, and safety of intravesical formulation of oxybutynin in patients with detrusor overactivity. *Scand J Urol Nephrol*. 2002;36:18-24. [PMID: 12002352]
64. Moore KH, Hay DM, Imrie AE, Watson A, Goldstein M. Oxybutynin hydrochloride (3 mg) in the treatment of women with idiopathic detrusor instability. *Br J Urol*. 1990;66:479-85. [PMID: 2249115]
65. Staskin DR, Dmochowski RR, Sand PK, Macdiarmid SA, Caramelli KE, Thomas H, et al. Efficacy and safety of oxybutynin chloride topical gel for overactive bladder: a randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled, multicenter study. *J Urol*. 2009;181:1764-72. [PMID: 19233423] doi:10.1016/j.juro.2008.11.125
66. Abrams P, Freeman R, Anderström C, Mattiasson A. Tolterodine, a new antimuscarinic agent: as effective but better tolerated than oxybutynin in patients with an overactive bladder. *Br J Urol*. 1998;81:801-10. [PMID: 9666761]
67. Homma Y, Kawabe K. Health-related quality of life of Japanese patients with overactive bladder treated with extended-release tolterodine or immediate-release oxybutynin: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *World J Urol*. 2004;22:251-6. [PMID: 15455256]
68. Homma Y, Paick JS, Lee JG, Kawabe K; Japanese and Korean Tolterodine Study Group. Clinical efficacy and tolerability of extended-release tolterodine and immediate-release oxybutynin in Japanese and Korean patients with an overactive bladder: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *BJU Int*. 2003;92:741-7. [PMID: 14616458]
69. Johnson TM 2nd, Burgio KL, Redden DT, Wright KC, Goode PS. Effects of behavioral and drug therapy on nocturia in older incontinent women. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2005;53:846-50. [PMID: 15877562]
70. Madersbacher H, Halaska M, Voigt R, Alloussi S, Höfner K. A placebo-controlled, multicentre study comparing the tolerability and efficacy of propiverine and oxybutynin in patients with urgency and urge incontinence. *BJU Int*. 1999;84:646-51. [PMID: 10510109]
71. Szonyi G, Collas DM, Ding YY, Malone-Lee JG. Oxybutynin with bladder retraining for detrusor instability in elderly people: a randomized controlled trial. *Age Ageing*. 1995;24:287-91. [PMID: 7484484]
72. Thüroff JW, Bunke B, Ebner A, Faber P, de Geeter P, Hannappel J, et al. Randomized, double-blind, multicenter trial on treatment of frequency, urgency and incontinence related to detrusor hyperactivity: oxybutynin versus propantheline versus placebo. *J Urol*. 1991;145:813-6. [PMID: 2005707]
73. Wang AC, Chih SY, Chen MC. Comparison of electric stimulation and oxybutynin chloride in management of overactive bladder with special reference to urinary urgency: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Urology*. 2006;68:999-1004. [PMID: 17113893]
74. Dorschner W, Stolzenburg JU, Griebenow R, Halaska M, Schubert G, Mürtz G, et al. Efficacy and cardiac safety of propiverine in elderly patients—a double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical study. *Eur Urol*. 2000;37:702-8. [PMID: 10828671]
75. Jünemann KP, Hessdörfer E, Unamba-Oparah I, Berse M, Brünjes R, Madersbacher H, et al. Propiverine hydrochloride immediate and extended release: comparison of efficacy and tolerability in patients with overactive bladder. *Urol Int*. 2006;77:334-9. [PMID: 17135784]
76. Lee KS, Lee HW, Choo MS, Paick JS, Lee JG, Seo JT, et al. Urinary urgency outcomes after propiverine treatment for an overactive bladder: the 'Propiverine study on overactive bladder including urgency data'. *BJU Int*. 2010;105:1565-70. [PMID: 19912183] doi:10.1111/j.1464-410X.2009.09050.x
77. Cardozo L, Castro-Diaz D, Gittelman M, Ridder A, Huang M. Reductions in overactive bladder-related incontinence from pooled analysis of phase III trials evaluating treatment with solifenacin. *Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct*. 2006;17:512-9. [PMID: 16625311]
78. Chu F, Smith N, Uchida T. Efficacy and safety of solifenacin succinate 10 mg once daily: a multicenter, phase III, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group trial in patients with overactive bladder. *Curr Ther Res Clin Exp*. 2009;70:405-20. [PMID: 24692834] doi:10.1016/j.curtheres.2009.11.001
79. Karram MM, Toglia MR, Serels SR, Andoh M, Fakhoury A, Forero-Schwanhaeuser S. Treatment with solifenacin increases warning time and improves symptoms of overactive bladder: results from VENUS, a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Urology*. 2009;73:14-8. [PMID: 18995887] doi:10.1016/j.urology.2008.08.485
80. Staskin DR, Te AE. Short- and long-term efficacy of solifenacin treatment in patients with symptoms of mixed urinary incontinence. *BJU Int*. 2006;97:1256-61. [PMID: 16686722]
81. Vardy MD, Mitcheson HD, Samuels TA, Wegenke JD, Forero-Schwanhaeuser S, Marshall TS, et al. Effects of solifenacin on overactive bladder symptoms, symptom bother and other patient-reported outcomes: results from VIBRANT—a double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Int J Clin Pract*. 2009;63:1702-14. [PMID: 19930331] doi:10.1111/j.1742-1241.2009.02209.x
82. Toglia MR, Serels SR, Laramée C, Karram MM, Nandy IM, Andoh M, et al. Solifenacin for overactive bladder: patient-reported outcomes from a large placebo-controlled trial. *Postgrad Med*. 2009;121:151-8. [PMID: 19820284] doi:10.3810/pgm.2009.09.2062
83. Cardozo L, Amarengo G, Pushkar D, Mikulas J, Drogendijk T, Wright M, et al; SUNRISE Study Group. Severity of overactive bladder symptoms and response to dose escalation in a randomized, double-blind trial of solifenacin (SUNRISE). *BJU Int*. 2013;111:804-10. [PMID: 23294801] doi:10.1111/j.1464-410X.2012.11654.x
84. Malone-Lee J, Henshaw DJ, Cummings K. Urodynamic verification of an overactive bladder is not a prerequisite for antimuscarinic treatment response. *BJU Int*. 2003;92:415-7. [PMID: 12930431]
85. Rogers R, Bachmann G, Jumadilova Z, Sun F, Morrow JD, Guan Z, et al. Efficacy of tolterodine on overactive bladder symptoms and sexual and emotional quality of life in sexually active women. *Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct*. 2008;19:1551-7. [PMID: 18685795] doi:10.1007/s00192-008-0688-6
86. Freeman R, Hill S, Millard R, Slack M, Sutherst J; Tolterodine Study Group. Reduced perception of urgency in treatment of overactive bladder with extended-release tolterodine. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2003;102:605-11. [PMID: 12962951]
87. Herschorn S, Heesakkers J, Castro-Diaz D, Wang JT, Brodsky M, Guan Z; Disease Management Study Team. Effects of tolterodine extended release on patient perception of bladder condition and overactive bladder symptoms. *Curr Med Res Opin*. 2008;24:3513-21. [PMID: 19032133] doi:10.1185/03007990802537122
88. Kelleher CJ, Reese PR, Pleil AM, Okano GJ. Health-related quality of life of patients receiving extended-release tolterodine for overactive bladder. *Am J Manag Care*. 2002;8:S608-15. [PMID: 12516955]
89. Rogers RG, Bachmann G, Scarpero H, Jumadilova Z, Sun F, Morrow JD, et al. Effects of tolterodine ER on patient-reported outcomes in sexually active women with overactive bladder and urgency urinary incontinence. *Curr Med Res Opin*. 2009;25:2159-65. [PMID: 19601704] doi:10.1185/03007990903103279
90. Sand PK, Morrow JD, Bavendam T, Creanga DL, Nitti VW. Efficacy and tolerability of fesoterodine in women with overactive bladder. *Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct*. 2009;20:827-35. [PMID: 19495545] doi:10.1007/s00192-009-0857-2
91. Dmochowski RR, Sand PK, Zinner NR, Staskin DR. Trospium 60 mg once daily (QD) for overactive bladder syndrome: results from a placebo-controlled interventional study. *Urology*. 2008;71:449-54. [PMID: 18342185] doi:10.1016/j.urology.2007.11.008
92. Sand PK, Dmochowski RR, Zinner NR, Staskin DR, Appell RA. Trospium chloride extended release is effective and well tolerated in women with overactive bladder syndrome. *Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct*. 2009;20:1431-8. [PMID: 19727537] doi:10.1007/s00192-009-0969-8
93. Staskin D, Sand P, Zinner N, Dmochowski R; Trospium Study Group. Once daily trospium chloride is effective and well tolerated for the treatment of overactive bladder: results from a multicenter phase III trial. *J Urol*. 2007;178:978-83. [PMID: 17632131]
94. Zinner N, Gittelman M, Harris R, Susset J, Kanelos A, Auerbach S; Trospium Study Group. Trospium chloride improves overactive bladder symptoms: a multicenter phase III trial. *J Urol*. 2004;171:2311-5. [PMID: 15126811]
95. Staskin DR, Harnett MD. Effect of trospium chloride on somnolence and sleepiness in patients with overactive bladder. *Curr Urol Rep*. 2004;5:423-6. [PMID: 15541209]
96. Nitti VW, Khullar V, van Kerrebroeck P, Herschorn S, Cambronero J, Angulo JC, et al. Mirabegron for the treatment of overactive bladder: a prespecified pooled efficacy analysis and pooled safety analysis of three randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase III studies. *Int J Clin Pract*. 2013;67:619-32. [PMID: 23692526] doi:10.1111/ijcp.12194

97. Chapple CR, Amarengo G, López Aramburu MA, Everaert K, Lihne J, Lucas M, et al; BLOSSOM Investigator Group. A proof-of-concept study: mirabegron, a new therapy for overactive bladder. *Neurourol Urodyn*. 2013;32:1116-22. [PMID: 23424164] doi:10.1002/nau.22373
98. Ohlstein EH, von Keitz A, Michel MC. A multicenter, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial of the β_3 -adrenoceptor agonist solabegron for overactive bladder. *Eur Urol*. 2012;62:834-40. [PMID: 22695239] doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2012.05.053
99. Ginsberg D, Schneider T, Kelleher C, Van Kerrebroeck P, Swift S, Creanga D, et al. Efficacy of fesoterodine compared with extended-release tolterodine in men and women with overactive bladder. *BJU Int*. 2013;112:373-85. [PMID: 23826844] doi:10.1111/bju.12174
100. Dede H, Dolen I, Dede FS, Sivaslioglu AA. What is the success of drug treatment in urge urinary incontinence? What should be measured? *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. 2013;287:511-8. [PMID: 23086134] doi:10.1007/s00404-012-2596-8
101. Lee JG, Hong JY, Choo MS, Kwon HY, Chung DY, Lee KS, et al. Tolterodine: as effective but better tolerated than oxybutynin in Asian patients with symptoms of overactive bladder. *Int J Urol*. 2002;9:247-52. [PMID: 12060436]
102. Kafri R, Deutscher D, Shames J, Golomb J, Melzer I. Randomized trial of a comparison of rehabilitation or drug therapy for urgency urinary incontinence: 1-year follow-up. *Int Urogynecol J*. 2013;24:1181-9. [PMID: 23160873] doi:10.1007/s00192-012-1992-8
103. Cardozo L, Khullar V, Wang JT, Guan Z, Sand PK. Fesoterodine in patients with overactive bladder syndrome: can the severity of baseline urgency urinary incontinence predict dosing requirement? *BJU Int*. 2010;106:816-21. [PMID: 20151972] doi:10.1111/j.1464-410X.2010.09202.x
104. Wein AJ, Khullar V, Wang JT, Guan Z. Achieving continence with antimuscarinic therapy for overactive bladder: effects of baseline incontinence severity and bladder diary duration. *BJU Int*. 2007;99:360-3. [PMID: 17155987]
105. Sand PK, Rovner ES, Watanabe JH, Oefelein MG. Once-daily trospium chloride 60 mg extended release in subjects with overactive bladder syndrome who use multiple concomitant medications: post hoc analysis of pooled data from two randomized, placebo-controlled trials. *Drugs Aging*. 2011;28:151-60. [PMID: 21275440] doi:10.2165/11586740-000000000-00000
106. Chancellor MB, Oefelein MG, Vasavada S. Obesity is associated with a more severe overactive bladder disease state that is effectively treated with once-daily administration of trospium chloride extended release. *Neurourol Urodyn*. 2010;29:551-4. [PMID: 19634167] doi:10.1002/nau.20787
107. Chapple C, Van Kerrebroeck P, Tubaro A, Haag-Molkenteller C, Forst HT, Massow U, et al. Clinical efficacy, safety, and tolerability of once-daily fesoterodine in subjects with overactive bladder. *Eur Urol*. 2007;52:1204-12. [PMID: 17651893]
108. Nitti VW, Dmochowski R, Sand PK, Forst HT, Haag-Molkenteller C, Massow U, et al. Efficacy, safety and tolerability of fesoterodine for overactive bladder syndrome. *J Urol*. 2007;178:2488-94. [PMID: 17937959]
109. Chapple CR, Araújo P, Bosch JL, De Ridder D, Kramer AE, Ridder AM. Solifenacin appears effective and well tolerated in patients with symptomatic idiopathic detrusor overactivity in a placebo- and tolterodine-controlled phase 2 dose-finding study. *BJU Int*. 2004;93:71-7. [PMID: 14678372]
110. Drutz HP, Appell RA, Gleason D, Klimberg I, Radomski S. Clinical efficacy and safety of tolterodine compared to oxybutynin and placebo in patients with overactive bladder. *Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct*. 1999;10:283-9. [PMID: 10543335]
111. Jacquetin B, Wyndaele J. Tolterodine reduces the number of urge incontinence episodes in patients with an overactive bladder. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2001;98:97-102. [PMID: 11516807]
112. Junemann KP, Al-Shukri S. Efficacy and tolerability of trospium chloride and tolterodine in 234 patients with urge syndrome: a double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicentre clinical trial [Abstract]. *Neurourol Urodyn*. 2000;19:488-90.
113. Khullar V, Hill S, Laval KU, Schiøtz HA, Jonas U, Versi E. Treatment of urge-predominant mixed urinary incontinence with tolterodine extended release: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Urology*. 2004;64:269-74. [PMID: 15302476]
114. Malone-Lee JG, Al-Buheissi S. Does urodynamic verification of overactive bladder determine treatment success? Results from a randomized placebo-controlled study. *BJU Int*. 2009;103:931-7. [PMID: 19281469] doi:10.1111/j.1464-410X.2009.08361.x
115. Rentzhog L, Stanton SL, Cardozo L, Nelson E, Fall M, Abrams P. Efficacy and safety of tolterodine in patients with detrusor instability: a dose-ranging study. *Br J Urol*. 1998;81:42-8. [PMID: 9467475]
116. Jonas U, Höfner K, Madersbacher H, Holmdahl TH; The International Study Group. Efficacy and safety of two doses of tolterodine versus placebo in patients with detrusor overactivity and symptoms of frequency, urge incontinence, and urgency: urodynamic evaluation. *World J Urol*. 1997;15:144-51. [PMID: 9144906]
117. Rudy D, Cline K, Harris R, Goldberg K, Dmochowski R. Multicenter phase III trial studying trospium chloride in patients with overactive bladder. *Urology*. 2006;67:275-80. [PMID: 16461077]
118. Layton D, Pearce GL, Shakir SA. Safety profile of tolterodine as used in general practice in England: results of prescription-event monitoring. *Drug Saf*. 2001;24:703-13. [PMID: 11522122]
119. Chapple C. Fesoterodine: a new effective and well-tolerated antimuscarinic for the treatment of urgency-frequency syndrome: results of a phase 2 controlled study [Abstract]. Presented at 2004 Congress of the International Continence Society, Paris, France, 25-27 August 2004. Abstract no. 142
120. Zinner N, Tuttle J, Marks L. Efficacy and tolerability of darifenacin, a muscarinic M3 selective receptor antagonist (M3 SRA), compared with oxybutynin in the treatment of patients with overactive bladder. *World J Urol*. 2005;23:248-52. [PMID: 16096831]
121. Yamaguchi O, Marui E, Kakizaki H, Itoh N, Yokota T, Okada H, et al; Japanese Solifenacin Study Group. Randomized, double-blind, placebo- and propiverine-controlled trial of the once-daily antimuscarinic agent solifenacin in Japanese patients with overactive bladder. *BJU Int*. 2007;100:579-87. [PMID: 17669143]
122. Cardozo L, Hessdörfer E, Milani R, Araújo P, Dewilde L, Slack M, et al; SUNRISE Study Group. Solifenacin in the treatment of urgency and other symptoms of overactive bladder: results from a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, rising-dose trial. *BJU Int*. 2008;102:1120-7. [PMID: 18990175] doi:10.1111/j.1464-410X.2008.07939.x
123. Chapple CR, Rechberger T, Al-Shukri S, Meffan P, Everaert K, Huang M, et al; YM-905 Study Group. Randomized, double-blind placebo- and tolterodine-controlled trial of the once-daily antimuscarinic agent solifenacin in patients with symptomatic overactive bladder. *BJU Int*. 2004;93:303-10. [PMID: 14764127]
124. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Drug approval package: Sanctura XR (trospium chloride) extended release capsules: medical review(s). 2007. Accessed at www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/nda/2007/022103s000_MedR.pdf on 31 July 2014.
125. Chapple CR. Darifenacin: a novel M3 muscarinic selective receptor antagonist for the treatment of overactive bladder. *Expert Opin Investig Drugs*. 2004;13:1493-500. [PMID: 15500396]
126. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Drug approval package: Enablex (darifenacin hydrobromide) extended release tablets: medical review(s). 2004. Accessed at www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/nda/2004/21-513_Enablex.cfm on 31 July 2014.
127. Zinner N, Susset J, Gittelman M, Arguinoniz M, Reveda L, Haab F. Efficacy, tolerability and safety of darifenacin, an M(3) selective receptor antagonist: an investigation of warning time in patients with OAB. *Int J Clin Pract*. 2006;60:119-26. [PMID: 16409440]
128. DuBeau CE, Khullar V, Versi E. "Unblinding" in randomized controlled drug trials for urinary incontinence: Implications for assessing outcomes when adverse effects are evident. *Neurourol Urodyn*. 2005;24:13-20. [PMID: 15570576]
129. Malone-Lee JG, Walsh JB, Maugourd MF. Tolterodine: a safe and effective treatment for older patients with overactive bladder. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2001;49:700-5. [PMID: 11454106]
130. Appell RA, Sand P, Dmochowski R, Anderson R, Zinner N, Lama D, et al; Overactive Bladder: Judging Effective Control and Treatment Study Group. Prospective randomized controlled trial of extended-release oxybutynin chloride and tolterodine tartrate in the treatment of overactive bladder: results of the OBJECT Study. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 2001;76:358-63. [PMID: 11322350]
131. Armstrong RB, Dmochowski RR, Sand PK, Macdiarmid S. Safety and tolerability of extended-release oxybutynin once daily in urinary incontinence: combined results from two phase 4 controlled clinical trials. *Int Urol Nephrol*. 2007;39:1069-77. [PMID: 17333521]
132. Armstrong RB, Luber KM, Peters KM. Comparison of dry mouth in women treated with extended-release formulations of oxybutynin or

tolterodine for overactive bladder. *Int Urol Nephrol*. 2005;37:247-52. [PMID: 16142551]

133. Diokno AC, Appell RA, Sand PK, Dmochowski RR, Gburek BM, Klimberg IW, et al; OPERA Study Group. Prospective, randomized, double-blind study of the efficacy and tolerability of the extended-release formulations of oxybutynin and tolterodine for overactive bladder: results of the OPERA trial. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 2003;78:687-95. [PMID: 12934777]

134. Dmochowski RR, Sand PK, Zinner NR, Gittelman MC, Davila GW, Sanders SW; Transdermal Oxybutynin Study Group. Comparative efficacy and safety of transdermal oxybutynin and oral tolterodine versus placebo in previously treated patients with urge and mixed urinary incontinence. *Urology*. 2003;62:237-42. [PMID: 12893326]

135. Sand PK, Minkos J, Ritter H, Appell R. A comparison of extended-release oxybutynin and tolterodine for treatment of overactive bladder in women. *Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct*. 2004;15:243-8. [PMID: 15517668]

136. Chapple CR, Fianu-Jonsson A, Indig M, Khullar V, Rosa J, Scarpa RM, et al; STAR study group. Treatment outcomes in the STAR study: a subanalysis of solifenacin 5 mg and tolterodine ER 4 mg. *Eur Urol*. 2007;52:1195-203. [PMID: 17574730]

137. Choo MS, Lee JZ, Lee JB, Kim YH, Jung HC, Lee KS, et al. Efficacy and safety of solifenacin succinate in Korean patients with overactive bladder: a randomized, prospective, double-blind, multicentre study. *Int J Clin Pract*. 2008;62:1675-83. [PMID: 19143854] doi:10.1111/j.1742-1241.2008.01898.x

138. Solifenacin in a flexible dose regimen with tolterodine as an active comparator in a double-blind, double-dummy, randomized overactive bladder symptom trial (STAR). Proceedings of the 20th Congress of the European Association of Urology, Istanbul, Turkey, 16-20 March 2005.

139. Halaska M, Ralph G, Wiedemann A, Primus G, Ballering-Brühl B, Höfner K, et al. Controlled, double-blind, multicentre clinical trial to investigate long-term tolerability and efficacy of trospium chloride in patients with detrusor instability. *World J Urol*. 2003;20:392-9. [PMID: 12811500]

140. Zellner M, Madersbacher H, Palmtag H, Stöhrer M, Bödeker RH; P195 Study Group. Trospium chloride and oxybutynin hydrochloride in a german study of adults with urinary urge incontinence: results of a 12-week, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, parallel-group, flexible-dose noninferiority trial. *Clin Ther*. 2009;31:2519-39. [PMID: 20109997] doi:10.1016/j.clinthera.2009.11.005

ANNALS PERSONAE PHOTOGRAPHS FOR ACP'S CENTENNIAL

In recognition of the American College of Physicians' 100th anniversary, *Annals of Internal Medicine* is seeking photographs of internal medicine physicians to feature on each issue of the journal during 2015, the ACP's centennial year. In choosing from among submitted photographs, we will seek photos that capture personality and celebrate the diversity of individuals who devote their professional lives to the practice of internal medicine. Readers and others are encouraged to submit photographs of internal medicine physicians for consideration. In an effort to bring people to the pages of the *Annals of Internal Medicine*, the editors began publishing photographs of people in 1999. *Annals* published photographs in a section of the journal called "Personae" from 1999 to 2000, and photographs have appeared on the cover since 2000.

Written permission to publish the photograph from the subject (or subjects) of the photograph or the subject's guardian or next of kin must accompany submissions. The subject must understand that, if selected for publication, the photograph will not only appear on the cover of the journal but also in digital versions of the journal and associated publications. Photographs can be published without the subject's permission only under the following circumstances: 1) the subject is unidentifiable in the photograph or 2) the photograph was taken in a public venue, is not potentially damaging to the subject, and is accompanied by a written statement from the photographer vouching that the photograph was taken in a public venue with the subject's consent. A cover letter ensuring no prior publication of the photograph and providing permission from the photographer for *Annals* to publish the image should accompany all submissions. In addition, the letter should indicate the name and specialty of the internist depicted and the photographer's name, academic degrees, institutional affiliation, mailing address, telephone number, and e-mail address. Photographers must relinquish copyright to the American College of Physicians before publication. Pictures from photographers unwilling to do so will not be considered.

Please submit high-resolution, digital copies of photographs to Nicole Briglia at nbriglia@acponline.org for consideration in our special 100th-anniversary issues. We look forward to receiving your photographs.

Current Author Addresses: Drs. Qaseem and Starkey: American College of Physicians, 190 N. Independence Mall West, Philadelphia, PA 19106.

Dr. Dallas: Virginia Tech Carilion School of Medicine, 1906 Bellview Avenue, Roanoke, VA 24014.

Dr. Forcica: University of Pennsylvania Health System, 3615 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104.

Dr. Denberg: Carilion Clinic, PO Box 13727, Roanoke, VA 24036.

Dr. Shekelle: West Los Angeles Veterans Affairs Medical Center, 11301 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, CA 90073.

Author Contributions: Conception and design: A. Qaseem, T.D. Denberg, P. Shekelle.

Analysis and interpretation of the data: A. Qaseem, P. Dallas, M.A. Forcica, M. Starkey, T.D. Denberg.

Drafting of the article: A. Qaseem, P. Dallas, M.A. Forcica, M. Starkey, T.D. Denberg.

Critical revision of the article for important intellectual content: P. Dallas, M.A. Forcica, M. Starkey, T.D. Denberg, P. Shekelle.

Final approval of the article: A. Qaseem, P. Dallas, M.A. Forcica, M. Starkey, T.D. Denberg, P. Shekelle.

Statistical expertise: A. Qaseem.

Obtaining of funding: A. Qaseem.

Administrative, technical, or logistic support: A. Qaseem, M. Starkey, T.D. Denberg.

Collection and assembly of data: A. Qaseem, M. Starkey.

Web-Only References

141. **Bø K.** Adherence to pelvic floor muscle exercise and long-term effect on stress urinary incontinence. A five-year follow-up study. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* 1995;5:36-9. [PMID: 7882126]

142. **Burgio KL, Goode PS, Locher JL, Umlauf MG, Roth DL, Richter HE, et al.** Behavioral training with and without biofeedback in the treatment of urge incontinence in older women: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA.* 2002;288:2293-9. [PMID: 12425706]

143. **de Oliveira Camargo F, Rodrigues AM, Arruda RM, Ferreira Sartori MG, Girão MJ, Castro RA.** Pelvic floor muscle training in female stress urinary incontinence: comparison between group training and individual treatment using PERFECT assessment scheme. *Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct.* 2009;20:1455-62. [PMID: 19690792] doi:10.1007/s00192-009-0971-1

144. **Felicíssimo MF, Carneiro MM, Saleme CS, Pinto RZ, da Fonseca AM, da Silva-Filho AL.** Intensive supervised versus unsupervised pelvic floor muscle training for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence: a randomized comparative trial. *Int Urogynecol J.* 2010;21:835-40. [PMID: 20179901] doi:10.1007/s00192-010-1125-1

145. **Zanetti MR, Castro Rde A, Rotta AL, Santos PD, Sartori M, Girão MJ.** Impact of supervised physiotherapeutic pelvic floor exercises for treating female stress urinary incontinence. *Sao Paulo Med J.* 2007;125:265-9. [PMID: 18094892]

146. **Konstantinidou E, Apostolidis A, Kondelidis N, Tsimtsiou Z, Hatzichristou D, Ioannides E.** Short-term efficacy of group pelvic floor training under intensive supervision versus unsupervised home training for female stress urinary incontinence: a randomized pilot study. *Neurourol Urodyn.* 2007;26:486-91. [PMID: 17245777]

147. **Ng SC, Lin TL, Chang SJ, Tai HL, Hu SW, Chen GD.** Nursing intervention to enhance efficacy of home practice of pelvic floor muscle exercises in

treating mixed urinary incontinence. *Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct.* 2008;19:637-42. [PMID: 18004495]

148. **Tsai YC, Liu CH.** The effectiveness of pelvic floor exercises, digital vaginal palpation and interpersonal support on stress urinary incontinence: an experimental study. *Int J Nurs Stud.* 2009;46:1181-6. [PMID: 19361800] doi:10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2009.03.003

149. **Berghmans LC, Frederiks CM, de Bie RA, Weil EH, Smeets LW, van Waalwijk van Doorn ES, et al.** Efficacy of biofeedback, when included with pelvic floor muscle exercise treatment, for genuine stress incontinence. *Neurourol Urodyn.* 1996;15:37-52. [PMID: 8696355]

150. **Goode PS, Burgio KL, Locher JL, Roth DL, Umlauf MG, Richter HE, et al.** Effect of behavioral training with or without pelvic floor electrical stimulation on stress incontinence in women: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA.* 2003;290:345-52. [PMID: 12865375]

151. **Glavind K, Nøhr SB, Walter S.** Biofeedback and physiotherapy versus physiotherapy alone in the treatment of genuine stress urinary incontinence. *Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct.* 1996;7:339-43. [PMID: 9203484]

152. **Mørkved S, Bø K, Fjørtoft T.** Effect of adding biofeedback to pelvic floor muscle training to treat urodynamic stress incontinence. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2002;100:730-9. [PMID: 12383542]

153. **Wang AC, Wang YY, Chen MC.** Single-blind, randomized trial of pelvic floor muscle training, biofeedback-assisted pelvic floor muscle training, and electrical stimulation in the management of overactive bladder. *Urology.* 2004;63:61-6. [PMID: 14751349]

154. **Gameiro MO, Moreira EH, Gameiro FO, Moreno JC, Padovani CR, Amaro JL.** Vaginal weight cone versus assisted pelvic floor muscle training in the treatment of female urinary incontinence. A prospective, single-blind, randomized trial. *Int Urogynecol J.* 2010;21:395-9. [PMID: 20052573] doi:10.1007/s00192-009-1059-7

155. **Seo JT, Yoon H, Kim YH.** A randomized prospective study comparing new vaginal cone and FES-Biofeedback. *Yonsei Med J.* 2004;45:879-84. [PMID: 15515199]

156. **Elser DM, Wyman JF, McClish DK, Robinson D, Fantl JA, Bump RC; Continence Program for Women Research Group.** The effect of bladder training, pelvic floor muscle training, or combination training on urodynamic parameters in women with urinary incontinence. *Neurourol Urodyn.* 1999;18:427-36. [PMID: 10494113]

157. **Wyman JF, Fantl JA, McClish DK, Bump RC; Continence Program for Women Research Group.** Comparative efficacy of behavioral interventions in the management of female urinary incontinence. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 1998;179:999-1007. [PMID: 9790388]

158. **Schagen van Leeuwen JH, Lange RR, Jonasson AF, Chen WJ, Viktrup L.** Efficacy and safety of duloxetine in elderly women with stress urinary incontinence or stress-predominant mixed urinary incontinence. *Maturitas.* 2008;60:138-47. [PMID: 18547757] doi:10.1016/j.maturitas.2008.04.012

159. **Castro-Díaz D, Palma PC, Bouchard C, Haab F, Hampel C, Carone R, et al; Duloxetine Dose Escalation Study Group.** Effect of dose escalation on the tolerability and efficacy of duloxetine in the treatment of women with stress urinary incontinence. *Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct.* 2007;18:919-29. [PMID: 17160693]

160. **van Kerrebroeck P, Abrams P, Lange R, Slack M, Wyndaele JJ, Yalcin I, et al; Duloxetine Urinary Incontinence Study Group.** Duloxetine versus placebo in the treatment of European and Canadian women with stress urinary incontinence. *BJOG.* 2004;111:249-57. [PMID: 14961887]

161. **Duckett JR, Vella M, Kavalakuntla G, Basu M.** Tolerability and efficacy of duloxetine in a nontrial situation. *BJOG.* 2007;114:543-7. [PMID: 17355360]

162. **Herschorn S.** Current role of injectable agents for female stress urinary incontinence. *Can J Urol.* 2006;13 Suppl 1:5-12. [PMID: 16526974]

163. **Kim H, Suzuki T, Yoshida Y, Yoshida H.** Effectiveness of multidimensional exercises for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence in elderly community-dwelling Japanese women: a randomized, controlled, crossover trial. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2007;55:1932-9. [PMID: 17944890]

Appendix Table 1. Nonpharmacologic Treatments for UI, Pooled With Random-Effects Model

Outcome, by Treatment	Effect on Outcome†	Studies, n	Patients, n	Relative Risk (95% CI)	Absolute Risk Difference (95% CI)	Attributable Events (95% CI), n	NNT _B (95% CI)	Quality of Evidence	Reference
Treatment of stress UI									
PFMT vs. no active treatment									
Contingence	Improve	10	959	3.8 (2.1 to 6.8)	0.30 (0.19 to 0.41)	299 (188 to 410)	3 (2 to 5)	High	16–18, 20, 22, 23, 27, 163
Improved UI	Improve	6	510	5.44 (1.57 to 18.83)	0.41 (0.17 to 0.65)	412 (174 to 649)	2 (2 to 6)	High	16, 19–23
PFMT with biofeedback using vaginal EMG probe vs. no active treatment									
Contingence	Improve/NS	2	185	11.2 (2.2 to 56.4)	0.49 (–0.10 to 1.08)	NA	NA	Low	16, 20
Improved UI	Improve	4	383	3.93 (1.00 to 15.49)	0.39 (0.17 to 0.61)	390 (170 to 610)	3 (2 to 6)	High	16, 19, 20, 24
Treatment of urgency UI									
Bladder training vs. no active treatment									
Improved UI	Improve	2	283	3.22 (2.25 to 4.60)	0.43 (0.28 to 0.59)	430 (275 to 585)	2 (2 to 4)	Low	25, 26
Treatment of mixed UI									
PFMT combined with bladder training vs. no active treatment									
Contingence	Improve	5	1369	3.8 (1.5 to 9.3)	0.17 (0.06 to 0.27)	166 (63 to 268)	6 (4 to 16)	High	28–32
Improved UI	Improve	4	1171	4.13 (1.58 to 10.78)	0.39 (0.17 to 0.60)	387 (171 to 603)	3 (2 to 6)	High	28, 29, 31, 32
Contingence services implemented by specialized health care providers vs. no active treatment									
Contingence	Improve/NS	3	3939	1.6 (1.1 to 2.3)	0.30 (–0.01 to 0.60)	NA	NA	Moderate	33–35
Improved UI	Improve/NS	2	4038	1.33 (1.06 to 1.68)	0.20 (–0.01 to 0.41)	NA	NA	Low	35, 36
Weight loss vs. no active treatment									
Improved UI	Improve	2	386	2.17 (1.26 to 3.76)	0.27 (0.06 to 0.50)	273 (57 to 490)	4 (2 to 18)	Moderate	37, 38
Comparative effectiveness of treatments for stress UI									
Supervised vs. self-administered PFMT									
Contingence	NS	4	300	1.92 (0.87 to 4.23)	0.20 (–0.03 to 0.43)	NA	NA	High	141–145
Improved UI	NS	4	283	1.51 (0.85 to 2.67)	0.14 (–0.05 to 0.32)	NA	NA	Moderate	141, 146–14
PFMT with biofeedback using vaginal EMG probe vs. PFMT									
Contingence	NS	6	542	1.27 (0.88 to 1.85)	0.08 (–0.03 to 0.19)	NA	NA	High	143, 149–153
PFMT vs. vaginal cones									
Contingence	NS	3	320	0.78 (0.58 to 1.06)	–0.11 (–0.26 to 0.04)	NA	NA	Moderate	21, 27, 154
Improved UI	NS	4	440	1.02 (0.91 to 1.14)	0.01 (–0.08 to 0.09)	NA	NA	Moderate	21, 27, 154, 155
Comparative effectiveness of treatments for urgency UI									
PFMT combined with bladder training vs. bladder training									
Contingence	NS	2	271	1 (0.4 to 2.8)	0.001 (–0.2 to 0.2)	NA	NA	High	156, 157

EMG = electromyography; NA = not available; NN_T_B = number needed to treat for benefit; NS = no significant difference based on relative and absolute risk; PFMT = pelvic floor muscle training; UI = urinary incontinence. Clinically important improvement in UI was defined as a ≥50% reduction in UI frequency.

† Adapted from reference 11. Relative risk/absolute risk where noted. “Improve” signifies that the treatment provided benefit vs. the comparator.

Appendix Table 2. Pharmacologic Treatments for UI

Outcome, by Treatment*	Effect on Outcome†	Studies, n	Patients, n	Relative Risk (95% CI)	Absolute Risk Difference (95% CI)	Attributable Events (95% CI), n	NNT _p /NNT _H (95% CI)	Adverse Effects	Quality of Evidence	Reference
Treatment of stress UI										
Duloxetine vs. placebo										
Continence	Worsen/NS	2	736	0.92 (0.86 to 0.99)	-0.03 (-0.12 to 0.06)	NA	NA	Nausea, somnolence, insomnia, dizziness, headache, fatigue, diarrhea, constipation	Low	44, 45
Improved UI	Improve/NS	4	1138	1.68 (0.94 to 3.00)	0.08 (0.01 to 0.14)	75 (7 to 142)	13 (7 to 143)		High	44, 46-49
Discontinuation due to adverse effects	Worsen	9	3252	4.40 (3.24 to 5.86)	0.13 (0.06 to 0.19)	129 (64 to 193)	8 (5 to 16)		High	44-46, 48, 50, 158-161
Intravaginal estriol plus PFMT vs. intravaginal estriol										
Continence	Improve	1	206	8.1 (4.5 to 14.7)	0.69 (0.59 to 0.79)	NA	1 (1 to 2)	NA	Low	43
Treatment of urgency UI										
Antimuscarinics										
Darifenacin vs. placebo										
Improved UI	Improve	3	1011	1.3 (1.2 to 1.5)	0.12 (0.06 to 0.17)	117 (57 to 177)	9 (6 to 18)	Constipation, dry mouth, dyspepsia, headache	High	52-54
Discontinuation due to adverse effects	NS	7	3138	1.2 (0.8 to 1.8)	0.00 (-0.01 to 0.02)	NA	NA		High	52-54, 125-127
Discontinuation due to failure	NS	4	1280	0.6 (0.2 to 1.7)	-0.01 (-0.02 to 0.01)	NA	NA		Moderate	53, 126, 127
Fesoterodine vs. placebo										
Continence	Improve	3	3110	1.5 (1.1 to 1.9)	0.13 (0.09 to 0.17)	132 (90 to 174)	8 (6 to 11)	Dry mouth, constipation, abdominal pain	Moderate	55-57
Improved UI	Improve	2	1896	1.3 (1.2 to 1.5)	0.10 (0.06 to 0.15)	100 (56 to 145)	10 (7 to 18)		High	56, 58-60
Adverse events	Worsen	5	4790	1.4 (1.2 to 1.6)	0.15 (0.11 to 0.19)	149 (112 to 185)	7 (5 to 9)		High	56, 60, 107, 108
Discontinuation due to adverse effects	Improve	4	4433	2.0 (1.3 to 3.1)	0.03 (0.01 to 0.06)	31 (10 to 56)	33 (18 to 102)		High	55, 56, 58-60, 119
Discontinuation due to failure	NS	2	1896	0.6 (0.2 to 2.5)	-0.01 (-0.03 to 0.02)	NA	NA		Moderate	56, 58, 60, 162
Oxybutynin vs. placebo										
Continence	Improve	4	992	1.7 (1.3 to 2.1)	0.11 (0.06 to 0.16)	114 (64 to 163)	9 (6 to 16)	Dry mouth, constipation, dyspepsia	High	61-65
Improved UI	Improve	9	1244	1.5 (1.2 to 1.9)	0.17 (0.10 to 0.24)	167 (95 to 240)	6 (4 to 11)		Moderate	24, 61, 62, 64, 66-73
Discontinuation due to adverse effects	Worsen	5	1483	1.7 (1.1 to 2.5)	0.06 (0.01 to 0.13)	63 (12 to 127)	16 (8 to 86)		High	65-68, 72, 120
Propiverine vs. placebo										
Continence	Improve	2	691	1.4 (1.2 to 1.7)	0.16 (0.09 to 0.24)	163 (86 to 239)	6 (4 to 12)	Blurred vision, constipation, dizziness, dry mouth, headache	Low	74, 75
Improved UI	Improve	3	985	1.6 (1.3 to 2.0)	0.19 (0.13 to 0.25)	192 (132 to 252)	5 (4 to 8)		Moderate	74-76
Discontinuation due to adverse effects	Worsen	2	1401	2.6 (1.4 to 5.0)	0.03 (0.01 to 0.06)	34 (13 to 61)	29 (16 to 77)		Low	75, 121

Continued on following page

Appendix Table 2—Continued

Outcome, by Treatment*	Effect on Outcome†	Studies, n	Patients, n	Relative Risk (95% CI)	Absolute Risk Difference (95% CI)	Attributable Events (95% CI), n	NNT _B /NNT _H (95% CI)	Adverse Effects	Quality of Evidence	Reference
Solifenacin vs. placebo										
Continence	Improve	5	6304	1.5 (1.4 to 1.6)	0.11 (0.06 to 0.16)	107 (58 to 156)	9 (6 to 17)	Dry mouth, blurred vision, constipation	High	77–81
Improved UI	Improve	2	1507	1.5 (1.0 to 2.1)	0.18 (0.10 to 0.26)	180 (97 to 263)	6 (4 to 10)		Low	81, 82
Adverse events	Worsen	3	1713	1.7 (1.2 to 2.4)	0.18 (0.09 to 0.27)	177 (85 to 267)	6 (4 to 12)		High	78, 79, 82, 109
Discontinuation due to adverse effects	Worsen	7	9080	1.3 (1.1 to 1.7)	0.01 (0.00 to 0.03)	13 (1 to 26)	78 (39 to 823)		High	77–80, 82, 121–123
Discontinuation due to failure	NS	4	2812	1.0 (0.5 to 1.8)	0.00 (–0.01 to 0.01)	NA	NA		Moderate	78, 82, 122, 123
Tolterodine vs. placebo										
Autonomic nervous system disorders, constipation, dyspepsia, abdominal pain, dry mouth										
Continence	Improve	4	3404	1.2 (1.1 to 1.4)	0.09 (0.04 to 0.13)	85 (40 to 129)	12 (8 to 25)		High	55, 56, 84, 85
Improved UI	Improve	7	6119	1.3 (1.1 to 1.4)	0.10 (0.04 to 0.15)	96 (42 to 149)	10 (7 to 24)		High	55, 56, 59, 86–90
Adverse events	Worsen	12	4162	1.2 (1.1 to 1.3)	0.08 (0.05 to 0.12)	83 (47 to 120)	12 (8 to 21)		High	56, 66, 85, 107, 109–116
Discontinuation due to adverse effects	NS	10	4466	1.0 (0.6 to 1.7)	0.01 (–0.01 to 0.03)	NA	NA		High	55, 56, 59, 66, 87, 107, 110, 111, 113, 115, 123, 128, 129
Discontinuation due to failure	NS	5	4049	0.5 (0.2 to 0.9)	–0.01 (–0.01 to 0.00)	NA	NA		High	56, 59, 87, 113, 123
Trospium vs. placebo										
Dry eye, dry mouth, dry skin, constipation										
Continence	Improve	4	2677	1.7 (1.5 to 2.0)	0.11 (0.08 to 0.14)	114 (83 to 144)	9 (7 to 12)		High	91–94
Improved UI	NS	2	1176	1.1 (0.6 to 2.0)	0.08 (–0.10 to 0.25)	NA	NA		Low	94, 95
Adverse events	Worsen	5	2967	1.4 (1.2 to 1.7)	0.12 (0.09 to 0.16)	123 (88 to 159)	8 (6 to 11)		Moderate	91–93, 112, 117
Discontinuation due to adverse effects	Worsen	6	3936	1.5 (1.1 to 1.9)	0.02 (0.00 to 0.03)	18 (4 to 33)	56 (30 to 228)		High	92–94, 117, 124
β ₃ -Adrenoreceptor agonist Mirabegron vs. placebo										
GI disorders, nasopharyngitis										
Continence	Improve	3	1425	1.2 (1.1 to 1.4)	0.09 (0.03 to 0.14)	NA	12 (7 to 29)		Moderate	96
Improved UI	Improve	3	1425	1.2 (1.1 to 1.3)	0.11 (0.06 to 0.16)	NA	9 (6 to 17)		Moderate	96
Discontinuation due to adverse effects	NS	1	131	3.0 (0.3 to 28.5)	0.03 (–0.03 to 0.09)	NA	NA		Low	97

Continued on following page

Appendix Table 2—Continued

Outcome, by Treatment*	Effect on Outcome†	Studies, n	Patients, n	Relative Risk (95% CI)	Absolute Risk Difference (95% CI)	Attributable Events (95% CI), n	NNT _B /NNT _H (95% CI)	Adverse Effects	Quality of Evidence	Reference
Comparative effectiveness of antimuscarinics for pharmacologic treatment of urgency UI										
Fesoterodine vs. tolterodine										
Continence	Improve	2	2756	1.10 (1.04 to 1.16)	0.06 (0.02 to 0.09)	56 (19 to 92)	18 (11 to 52)	Dry mouth, headache, and UTI were more common with fesoterodine than tolterodine	Moderate	55, 56, 99
Improved UI	Improve	3	4425	1.06 (1 to 1.2)	0.03 (0.00 to 0.06)	28 (1 to 57)	36 (17 to 1000)		High	55, 56, 59, 90
Discontinuation due to adverse effects	Worsen	4	4440	1.54 (1.21 to 1.97)	0.02 (0.01 to 0.03)	17 (5 to 31)	58 (33 to 206)		Moderate	55, 56, 59, 107
Oxybutynin vs. tolterodine										
Improved UI	NS	3	947	1.11 (0.94 to 1.31)	0.05 (−0.03 to 0.13)	NA	NA	Dry mouth, asthenia, autonomic nervous system disorder, GI disorders, dyspepsia, nausea, pain, palpitations, rhinitis, and UTI were more common with oxybutynin than tolterodine	Moderate	66, 68, 101
Discontinuation due to adverse effects	Worsen	6	2323	1.9 (1.1 to 3.3)	0.07 (0.01 to 0.15)	72 (7 to 154)	14 (7 to 145)		High	67, 68, 101, 110, 130–135
Solifenacin vs. tolterodine										
Discontinuation due to adverse effects	NS	3	2755	1.28 (0.86 to 1.91)	0.01 (0.00 to 0.03)	NA	NA	Dry mouth and constipation were more common with solifenacin than tolterodine; blurred vision was more common with tolterodine than solifenacin	Moderate	123, 136–138
Trospium vs. oxybutynin										
Discontinuation due to adverse effects	NS	2	2015	0.75 (0.52 to 1.1)	0.00 (−0.03 to 0.05)	NA	NA	Dry mouth was more common with oxybutynin than trospium	Low	139, 140

GI = gastrointestinal; NA = not available; NNT_B = number needed to treat for benefit; NNT_H = number needed to treat for harm; NS = no significant difference based on relative and absolute risk; PFMT = pelvic floor muscle training; UI = urinary incontinence; UTI = urinary tract infection.

* Clinically important improvement in UI was defined as a ≥50% reduction in UI frequency.

† Adapted from reference 11. Relative risk/absolute risk where noted. “Improve” signifies that the treatment provided benefit versus the comparator. “Worsen” signifies that the treatment resulted in harm versus the comparator.

CORRECTION: NONSURGICAL MANAGEMENT OF URINARY INCONTINENCE IN WOMEN

In a recent guideline (1), recommendations 2 and 3 should read as follows. Recommendation 2: ACP recommends bladder training in women with urgency UI. (Grade: weak recommendation, low-quality evidence). Recommendation 3: ACP recommends pelvic floor muscle training with bladder training in women with mixed UI. (Grade: strong recommendation, high-quality evidence).

This has been corrected in the online version.

Reference

1. Qaseem A, Dallas P, Forcica MA, Starkey M, Denberg TD, Shekelle P, et al. Nonsurgical management of urinary incontinence in women: a clinical practice guideline from the American College of Physicians. *Ann Intern Med.* 2014;161:429-40.